

Judge Johnstone's Unofficial Pro Hac Vice Policies	
CIRCUMVENTED THE COURTS PRESCRIBED LOCAL RULES GOVERNING PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE	OPERATED WITH UNLIMITED POWER
WERE INCONSISTENT AND CONTRARY TO ACTS OF CONGRESS & FEDERAL RULES OF PRACTICE & PROCEDURE	OPERATED WITH NO RESTRICTIONS
WERE NOT PRESCRIBED BY THE ENABLING ACT OF 1934	OPERATED WITHOUT ANY ESTABLISHED STANDARDS
DID NOT COME FROM OFFICIAL CHANNELS	WERE UNCONSTITUTIONAL
WERE NOT AUTHORIZED BY STATUTE	DEPRIVED APPELLANT OF RIGHTS AND PRIVILEGES SECURED BY THE CONSTITUTION AND HIS RIGHTS TO A FAIR AND IMPARTIAL TRIBUNAL, AND THUS NEVER REALISTICALLY WAS ABLE TO PRESENT HIS CLAIMS.
WERE NOT RECOMMENDED BY RULES ADVISORY COMMITTEE	
WERE IN OPPOSITE WITH N.H.R.S.A. 311:7	
USURPED AND PREEMPTED THE POWER OF THE GOVERNING STATE AUTHORITY	NO NOTICE & OPPORTUNITY FOR COMMENT UNDER 28 U.S.C. 2071(b)
WERE NOT CREATED AS AN IMMEDIATE NEED UNDER 2071(e)	WAS SUBSTANTIALLY BIASED IN FAVOR OF COIE ATTORNEYS AND TWITTER
LOWERED ATTORNEY ELIGIBILITY REQUIRED UNDER LOCAL RULE 83.2 FOR ATTORNEYS REPRESENTING TWITTER, GOOGLE & YOUTUBE	WERE THE MOVING FORCE BEHIND WHY DKT. 7 & 39 WERE DENIED

## (2) Bias Orders of the Court

A reasonable person could conclude that submitting a pleading to the Court when you are self admittedly, [TAB B-3] not so authorized to do so, is illegal in the State of N.H., even in a federal court. The document submitted becomes a nullity. Twitter therefore has not answered the [Complaint, at 1] in the time subscribed and